



Combined Construction and Operating License (COL)

Summary: Of eleven COL applications submitted, nine have been docketed for NRC review. A total of twenty power companies, of which two remain unidentified, intend to submit 23 license applications for 34 units. The Reference COL (R-COL) application has been submitted for four of the five reactor designs. No COLs have been issued to date.

	UTILITY	SITE/LOCATION		REACTOR/ UNITS		DATE COLA SUBMITTED	DATE DOCKETED
COL Applications Submitted	STP Nuclear Operating Co.	South Texas Project	TX	ABWR *	2	9/07	11/29/08
	TVA (NuStart)	Bellefonte	AL	AP1000 *	2	10/07	1/18/08
	Dominion Energy	North Anna	VA	ESBWR *	1	11/07	1/29/08
	Duke Energy	William States Lee III	SC	AP1000	2	12/07	2/25/08
	Progress Energy	Shearon Harris	NC	AP1000	2	2/08	4/17/08
	Entergy (NuStart)	Grand Gulf	MS	ESBWR	1	2/08	4/17/08
	UniStar (Constellation)	Calvert Cliffs	MD	EPR *	1	3/08	6/05/08**
	Southern Nuclear	Vogtle	GA	AP1000	2	3/08	6/09/08
	SCE&G	V.C. Summer	SC	AP1000	2	3/08	7/22/08
	AmerenUE (UniStar)	Callaway	MO	EPR	1	7/28/08	–
	Progress Energy	Levy County	FL	AP1000	2	7/30/08	–
Planned COL Applications	Alternate Energy Holdings (UniStar)	Elmore County	ID	EPR	1	4 th Qtr. 2008	
	Amarillo Power (UniStar)	Vicinity of Amarillo	TX	EPR	2	2009	
	DTE Energy	Fermi	MI	ESBWR	1	October 2008	
	Entergy	River Bend	LA	ESBWR	1	October 2008	
	Exelon Generation	Victoria County	TX	ESBWR	2	November 2008	
	Florida Power and Light	Turkey Point	FL	AP1000	2	2009	
	Luminant (TXU)	Comanche Peak	TX	US-APWR *	2	September 2008	
	PPL (UniStar)	Bell Bend	PA	EPR	1	Late 2008	
	Transition Power	Blue Castle	UT	Unspecified	1	2010	
	UniStar (Constellation)	Nine Mile Point	NY	EPR	1	2008	
	Unidentified Utility 'A'	Unspecified	–	Unspecified	1	2010	
	Unidentified Utility 'B'	Unspecified	–	Unspecified	1	2010	

* Reference COL Application (R-COL)

** Environmental portion of COLA submitted July 2007 and docketed 1/25/08

Reactor Design Certification (DC)

Summary: Two reactor designs are certified; three new designs and one amendment are under NRC review.

- GE ABWR – Certified in 1997 (10CFR52 App A).
- Westinghouse AP1000 – Certified 2005 (10CFR52 App D). A modification to the certified design was docketed January 18, 2008 and is currently under NRC review.
- GE ESBWR – Under NRC certification review, application docketed December 2005.
- AREVA US-EPR – Submitted December 12, 2007 and docketed February 25, 2008.
- Mitsubishi Heavy Industries US-APWR – Submitted December 31, 2007 and docketed February 29, 2008

Early Site Permits (ESP)

Summary: Three ESPs issued, one utility ESP application is currently under NRC review:

- The following ESPs have been issued: Exelon – Clinton (IL), 3/15/07; Entergy – Grand Gulf (MS), 4/5/07; Dominion – North Anna (VA), 11/ 27/07.
- Under NRC review: Southern – Vogtle site (GA). Final EIS expected to be issued August 2008 and a Commission decision in 2009.
- Duke notified NRC of two possible ESP applications at Oconee County (SC) and/or Davie County (NC); no dates provided.
- Unidentified power company announced plans to submit an ESP application in fiscal year 2010.



New Nuclear Plant Orders

Summary: Two plant construction contracts have been initiated; nine power companies have ordered large component forgings from three reactor vendors for potential nuclear plants; one vendor ordered other large equipment.

Long-Lead Equipment Orders: Large forging orders initiated by AREVA, GE-Hitachi, Toshiba and Westinghouse; Westinghouse has also ordered reactor coolant pumps.

- Progress, SCE&G, and Southern have contracted with Westinghouse for long-lead component forgings
- Dominion, Entergy, and Exelon have contracted with GE-Hitachi for long-lead component forgings.
- AmerenUE and UniStar have contracted with AREVA for long-lead component forgings.
- NRG Energy signed an agreement with Toshiba for long-lead component forgings.
- Japan Steel Works currently only ultra-large forging vender worldwide – capacity limited; AREVA, Lehigh Heavy Forge, BWXT and Russia's Uralmash-Izhora Group (OMZ) reportedly developing forging capabilities.
- Westinghouse has ordered 24 AP1000 reactor coolant pumps (RCPs) from Curtiss-Wright.

Plant Construction Contracts: Two utilities have executed plant Engineering, Procurement and Construction (EPC) contracts.

- Southern Nuclear (Georgia Power) entered into an EPC contract with Westinghouse/Shaw Group for two AP1000s at Vogtle. Start of early site construction is delayed 6 months pending resolution of technical issue pertaining to soil.
- South Carolina Electric & Gas Company (SCE&G) and Santee Cooper entered into an EPC contract with Westinghouse for two AP1000s at the V.C. Summer site.

New Plant Construction, Operation, Deferral

Summary: TVA board voted to proceed with the completion of Watts Bar unit 2 in Tennessee at a total cost of \$2.5 billion with an estimated completion date in 2013.

New Nuclear Plants under Construction: Watts Bar Unit 2 near Spring City, Tennessee.

New Nuclear Plant Begin Operation: None.

Deferred: None.

Federal Financial Incentives

Summary: EPC 2005 incentives are at various stages of development: two rules issued and one notice published.

Standby Support: Rule issued, no industry requests to date, no contracts issued.

- Final Rulemaking Issued August 2006.
- Published Standard Conditional Agreement September 2007.
- DOE released on-line instructions for requesting Conditional Agreement December 2007
- Two Notices of Intent to Request Conditional Agreement received April 21, 2008 and June 13, 2008
- Expect first industry request for Conditional Agreement FY-08
- Expect first DOE approval for standby support contract late 2010.

Production Tax Credits: Notice issued.

- Internal Revenue Bulletin 2006-18 published May 2006.
- Final Rule on Tax Credit and Guidelines on Certification Process issued FY 2008.

Energy Facility Loan Guarantee: Final rulemaking issued. Congress provided DOE with authority to issue guarantees for up to \$4 billion in loans (FY07).

- DOE issued solicitations for \$18.5 billion in loan guarantees for new nuclear power facilities and \$2 billion for the "front end" of the nuclear fuel cycle on June 30, 2008.
 - Three power companies have submitted initial loan guarantee applications for nuclear power plants
 - Two power companies have provided initial responses to the loan guarantee solicitation indicating their intent to apply
 - A full loan guarantee application was submitted for a fuel cycle facility on July 25.
- Nuclear power facilities solicitation is broken into two application parts that must be received by the Department by September 29, 2008 and December 19, 2008, respectively.
- Nuclear fuel cycle solicitation is also broken into two application parts that must be received by the Department by September 29, 2008 and December 2, 2008, respectively.
- As estimates of construction costs continue to rise, the authorized amount for loan guarantees may be unable to cover the necessary number of nuclear projects needed to catalyze follow-on nuclear construction fully financed by the private sector. It will be necessary to:
 - Increase the loan guarantee authority
 - Revise the Final Rule to allow inter-creditor agreements across multiple loans on one project, or
 - Agree that only the actual USG exposure on partially-guaranteed loans be scored against the loan guarantee authority, rather than the entire loan principal.